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## Disclosures

- I am an Associate Editor for the journal Neurology.


## Alzheimer's disease is on the rise



From Hebert et al., Neurology 2013


## DEMENILA <br> 9 WAYS TO REDUCE YOUR RISK



## National Academy of Medicine: Preventing Dementia

- Recommendations from this systematic review:
- Cognitive training
- Control of high BP (especially during ages 35 65)
- Increasing physical activity



## Vascular contribution to Alzheimer's Disease

- In autopsy studies of patients who were diagnosed with Alzheimer's disease, >50\% had evidence of strokes ("silent strokes")
- Fewer Alzheimer's-type changes are seen in people with higher levels of vascular changes in the brain (for an equivalent level of dementia)

Mild Cognitive Impairment

$\qquad$

Probable AD

$\qquad$

AD=Alzheimer's Disease I=Infarcts
LB=Lewy Bodies
Schneider et al., Annals of Neurology 2009


Heart disease risk factors (vascular risk factors) that may also affect brain health

- High blood pressure
- Diabetes
- Smoking
- High cholesterol
- Obesity
- Physically inactive lifestyle
- Poor diet
- Inflammation


## How do heart disease risk factors lead to problems with memory and thinking?

- Strokes
- "Silent" strokes or related brain changes

- Not enough flow/ oxygen to brain through diseased blood vessels
- Changes in ability to clear brain toxins or block access to the brain
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Iadecola \& Gottesman, Circulation Research 2019 (124(7): 1025-1044

## Importance of considering the whole life course

- Vascular factors have strongest relationships with cognitive decline and dementia when considered in middle age
- Changes in vascular risk factor status over the life course may change the way a risk factor affects an individual person




## High Blood Pressure: New AHA/ ACC definitions in 2017

## Blood Pressure Categories

| BLOOD PRESSURE CATEGORY | SYSTOLIC mm Hg <br> (upper number) |  | DIASTOLIC mm Hg <br> (lower number) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NORMAL | LESS THAN 120 | and | LESS THAN 80 |
| ELEVATED | $\mathbf{1 2 0 - 1 2 9}$ | and | LESS THAN 80 |
| HIGH BLOOD PRESSURE <br> (HYPERTENSION) STAGE 1 | $\mathbf{1 3 0 - 1 3 9}$ | or | $\mathbf{8 0 - 8 9}$ |
| HICH BLOOD PRESSURE <br> (HYPERTENSION) STACE 2 | $\mathbf{1 4 0}$ OR HIGHER | or | 90 OR HICHER |
| HYPERTENSIVE CRISIS <br> (consult your doctor immediately) | HICHER THAN 180 | and/or | HIGHER THAN 120 |

©American Heart Association
heart.org/bplevels



Muntner et al., Circulation 2017

## Higher BP, especially in middle age, is associated with worse cognition

Flgure 2. Adjusted Assoclation of Visit 2 (1990-1992) Systolic Blood Pressure Change Among Whites


Adapted from Gottesman et al., JAMA Neurology 2014


## Life course and hypertension

- In our studies, similar associations are not found for high blood pressure in later life
- Risk of cognitive decline and dementia is most pronounced for people with midlife (aged 45-64 in our study) high blood pressure


# Low blood pressure in late life may not be as well tolerated for people with midlife hypertension 



## Life course blood pressure trajectories may need to consider earlier exposures than midlife

Associations between blood pressure across adulthood and late-life brain structure and pathology in the neuroscience substudy of the 1946 British birth cohort (Insight 46):
an epidemiological study

Interpretation High and increasing blood pressure from early adulthood into midlife seems to be associated with increased WMHV and smaller brain volumes at 69-71 years of age. We found no evidence that blood pressure affected cognition or cerebral amyloid- $\beta$ load at this age. Blood pressure monitoring and interventions might need to start around 40 years of age to maximise late-life brain health.

## Diabetes



From Selvin et al., Diabetes
Care 2006

## Diabetes as a risk factor for cognitive decline

Figere 2. Differnnce in global cognitive $Z$ score dedine by dinical category of $\mathrm{Hb}_{4 \mathrm{k}}$ level comparsd with decline in persons without diabetes and $\mathrm{HbA}_{4 \mathrm{c}}$ lowil $\times 5.7 \%$.



## Smoking, Heart Disease, and

 Dementia

Table 2. Cox Proportional Hazards Regression Model of Time to Incident Dementia Overall and Stratified by Race

| Variable | Hazard Ratlo (95\% CI) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full Eligible Cohort ( $\mathrm{n}=15407)^{a}$ | Black $(n=4004)$ | White ( $\mathrm{n}=11403$ ) |
| Female | 0.89 (0.79-0.99) | 0.87 (0.72-1.06) | 0.92 (0.80-1.05) |
| Black | 1.36 (1.21-1.54) | NA | NA |
| Visit 1 age, $\mathrm{y}^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |
| 44-49 | 1 [Reference] | 1 [Reference] | 1 [Reference] |
| 50-54 | 2.04 (1.66-2.49) | 2.22 (1.66-2.98) | 1.98 (1.49-2.62) |
| 55-59 | 3.97 (3.28-4.81) | 3.53 (2.63-4.73) | 4.37 (3.37-5.65) |
| 60-66 | 8.06 (6.69-9.72) | 6.20 (4.64-8.28) | 9.54 (7.41-12.27) |
| Educational attainment |  |  |  |
| <High school | 1.37 (1.20-1.57) | 1.61 (1.28-2.03) | 1.29 (1.09-1.53) |
| High school graduate or GED | 1.05 (0.93-1.20) | 1.17 (0.90-1.53) | 1.02 (0.88-1.18) |
| >High school | 1 [Reference] | 1 [Reference] | 1 [Reference] |
| Visit 1 BMI |  |  |  |
| Underweight | 0.99 (0.53-1.87) | 1.15 (0.36-3.66) | 0.92 (0.43-1.97) |
| Narmal | 1 rDafaranral | 1 [Dofaranra) | 1 [Dafaranral |

VIsIt 1 smoking ${ }^{\text {b }}$


From Gottesman et al., JAMA Neurology 2017

## High cholesterol: Risk factor for cognitive decline



From Power et al., Alzheimer's and Dementia 2017

## Obesity Trends* Among U.S. Adults BRFSS, 1987


$\square$ No Data $\square<10 \% \quad \square 10 \%-14 \%$

## Obesity Trends* Among U.S. Adults BRFSS, 1988 <br> $$
\text { (*BMI } \geq 30 \text {, or ~ } 30 \text { lbs. overweight for 5' 4" person) }
$$ <br> <br> (*BMI $\geq 30$, or ~ 30 lbs. overweight for 5' 4" person)

 <br> <br> (*BMI $\geq 30$, or ~ 30 lbs. overweight for 5' 4" person)}
No Data $\square<10 \% \quad \square 10 \%-14 \%$

## Obesity Trends* Among U.S. Adults BRFSS, 1989 <br> $$
\text { (*BMI } \geq 30 \text {, or } \sim 30 \text { lbs. overweight for 5' 4" person) }
$$


$\square$ No Data $\square<10 \% \quad \square$ 10\%-14\%

## Obesity Trends* Among U.S. Adults BRFSS, 1990 <br> ```(* BMI \geq30, or ~ 30 lbs. overweight for 5' 4" person)```


No Data $\square<10 \% \quad \square 10 \%-14 \%$

JUHINS HUPkIIS's
mEDICINE

## Obesity Trends* Among U.S. Adults BRFSS, 1991



## Obesity Trends* Among U.S. Adults

## BRFSS, 1992



## Obesity Trends* Among U.S. Adults

 BRFSS, 1993

## Obesity Trends* Among U.S. Adults

BRFSS, 1994

$$
\text { (*BMI } \geq 30 \text {, or } \sim 30 \text { lbs. overweight for 5' 4" person) }
$$



| No Data $\quad \square<10 \% ~$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ | $10 \%-14 \% \quad \square \quad 15 \%-19 \%$

## Obesity Trends* Among U.S. Adults

 BRFSS, 1995```
(* BMI \geq30, or ~ 30 lbs. overweight for 5' 4" person)
```


$\square$ No Data $\square<10 \% \square 10 \%-14 \% \quad \square$

## Obesity Trends* Among U.S. Adults BRFSS, 1996



## Obesity Trends* Among U.S. Adults BRFSS, 1997


$\square$ No Data $\square<10 \% \quad \square 10 \%-14 \% \quad \square 20 \%$

## Obesity Trends* Among U.S. Adults BRFSS, 1998


$\square$ No Data $\square<10 \% \quad \square 10 \%-14 \% \quad \square 20 \%$

## Obesity Trends* Among U.S. Adults BRFSS, 1999 <br> (*BMI $\mathbf{\geq 3 0}$, or $\mathbf{\sim} \mathbf{3 0}$ lbs. overweight for 5' 4" person)


$\square$ No Data $\square<10 \% \quad \square 10 \%-14 \% \quad \geq 20 \%$

## Obesity Trends* Among U.S. Adults BRFSS, 2000


$\square$ No Data $\square<10 \% \quad \square 10 \%-14 \% \quad \square 20 \%$

## Obesity Trends* Among U.S. Adults BRFSS, 2001


$\square$ No Data $\square<10 \% \quad \square 10 \%-14 \% \square \quad 20 \%-\sqrt{\square} \quad \geq 250 \%$

## Obesity Trends* Among U.S. Adults

 BRFSS, 2002(*BMI $\geq \mathbf{3 0}$, or $\mathbf{\sim} \mathbf{3 0}$ lbs. overweight for 5' 4" person)



## Obesity Trends* Among U.S. Adults BRFSS, 2003


$\square$ No Data $\square<10 \% \quad \square 10 \%-14 \% \square \square 20 \%-2 \square$

## Obesity Trends* Among U.S. Adults

 BRFSS, 2004
$\square$ No Data $\square<10 \% \quad \square 10 \%-14 \% \square \quad 20 \%-\sqrt{\square} \quad \geq 250 \%$

## Obesity Trends* Among U.S. Adults BRFSS, 2005




## Obesity Trends* Among U.S. Adults BRFSS, 2006




## Obesity Trends* Among U.S. Adults BRFSS, 2007




## Obesity Trends* Among U.S. Adults BRFSS, 2008




## Obesity Trends* Among U.S. Adults BRFSS, 2009




## Obesity Trends* Among U.S. Adults BRFSS, 2010




## Obesity in midlife is associated with higher risk of dementia



SAD: sagittal abdominal diameter
Whitmer et al, Neurology 2008

## Obesity and Dementia




|  | Number of observations in the analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years | -28 <br> to <br> -24 | -24 <br> to <br> -20 | -20 <br> to <br> -16 | -16 <br> to <br> -12 | -12 <br> to <br> -8 | -8 <br> to <br> -4 | -4 <br> to <br> 0 |
| Dementia <br> free | 9135 | 7161 | 5513 | 2944 | 4086 | 6353 | 6040 |
| Dementia <br> cases | 219 | 196 | 141 | 126 | 147 | 133 | 112 |


|  | Number of observations in the analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years | -28 <br> to <br> -24 | -24 <br> to <br> -20 | -20 <br> to <br> -16 | -16 <br> to <br> -12 | -12 <br> to <br> -8 | -8 <br> to <br> -4 | -4 <br> to <br> 0 |
| Controls | 1460 | 1381 | 1044 | 1003 | 1245 | 1135 | 1512 |
| Cases | 219 | 196 | 141 | 126 | 147 | 133 | 112 |

Singh-Manoux et al., Alzheimer's and Dementia 2017

## Physical activity in midlife and risk of dementia



Wang et al., Am J Geriatr Psychiatry, 2014

## Research Article

Leisure-time physical activity sustained since midlife and preservation of cognitive function: The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study

Priya Palta ${ }^{\text {a, }}$, A. Richey Sharrett ${ }^{\text {b }}$, Jennifer A. Deal ${ }^{\text {b }}$, Kelly R. Evenson ${ }^{\text {a }}$, Kelley Pettee Gabriel ${ }^{\text {c,d }}$, Aaron R. Folsom ${ }^{e}$, Alden L. Gross ${ }^{\text {b }}$, B. Gwen Windham ${ }^{f}$, David Knopman ${ }^{\text {g }}$, Thomas H. Mosley ${ }^{f}$, Gerardo Heiss ${ }^{\text {a }}$


## Leisure-time Physical Activity level

- Visit 3 Physical Activity

A Persistence of Physical Activity from Visit 1-3

> Reference: High physical activity

## Diet and nutrition



JAMA | Original Investigation

## Association Between Midlife Vascular Risk Factors and Estimated Brain Amyloid Deposition

Rebecca F. Gottesman, MD, PhD; Andrea L. C. Schneider, MD, PhD; Yun Zhou, PhD; Josef Coresh, MD, PhD; Edward Green, MD; Naresh Gupta, MD; David S. Knopman, MD; Akiva Mintz, MD; Arman Rahmim, PhD;
A. Richey Sharrett, MD, DrPH; Lynne E. Wagenknecht, DrPH; Dean F. Wong. MD, PhD; Thomas H. Mosley, PhD

JAMA. 2017;317(14):1443-1450.

Table 3. Adjusted Odds Ratios for the Assoclation of Midlife and Late-Life Number of Vascular Risk Factors With Global Cortex SUVR >1.2 Overall and Stratified by APOE $\varepsilon 4$ Genotype $(\mathrm{N}=322)$

| Risk Factors ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Overall } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=322) \end{aligned}$ |  | 0 APOE $\varepsilon 4$ Alleles$(\mathrm{n}=220)$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { or } 2 \text { APOE } \varepsilon 4 \text { Alleles } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=100) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. With SUVR $>1.2 /$ Total No. (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Adjusted OR } \\ & (95 \% \mathrm{Cl})^{\mathrm{b}} \end{aligned}$ | No. With SUVR $>1.2 /$ Total No. (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Adjusted OR } \\ & (95 \% \mathrm{Cl})^{\mathrm{b}} \end{aligned}$ | No. With SUVR <br> $>1.2 /$ Total No. (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Adjusted OR } \\ & (95 \% \mathrm{CI})^{\mathrm{b}} \end{aligned}$ |
| Midlife (Study Visit 1, 1987-1989) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vascular risk factors |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 20/65 (30.8) | 1 [Reference] | 14/47 (29.8) | 1 [Reference] | 6/18 (33.3) | 1 [Reference] |
| 1 | 62/123 (50.4) | 1.88 (0.95-3.73) | 37/85 (43.5) | 1.36 (0.61-3.05) | 25/38 (65.8) | 3.10 (0.84-11.50) |
| $\geq 2$ | 82/134 (61.2) | 2.88 (1.46-5.69) | 45/90 (50.0) | 1.86 (0.83-4.14) | 37/44 (84.1) | 9.15 (2.27-36.89) |


| Late life (Study Visit 5, 2011-2013) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vascular risk <br> factors |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | $13 / 35(37.1)$ | 1 [Reference] | $6 / 23(26.1)$ | 1 [Reference] | $7 / 12(58.3)$ | 1 [Reference] |
| 1 | $37 / 82(45.1)$ | $1.02(0.43-2.43)$ | $16 / 50(32.0)$ | $1.38(0.43-4.39)$ | $21 / 32(65.6)$ | $0.56(0.12-2.67)$ |
| $\geq 2$ | $114 / 205(55.6)$ | $1.66(0.75-3.69)$ | $74 / 149(49.7)$ | $2.21(0.78-6.26)$ | $40 / 56(71.4)$ | $1.03(0.25-4.29)$ |

[^0][^1]
## Association between number of risk factors and brain amyloid is reduced when later-life risk factors are considered

Figure 1. Adjusted Odds Ratios for Global Cortex Florbetapir SUVRs >1.2 by Number of Vascular Risk Factors, Midlife Through Late Life


## Is there evidence that treatment of vascular risk prevents dementia?

- Previously, few studies had shown a benefit from treatment of risk factors to prevent dementia
- The recent "SPRINT-MIND" trial showed that tight control of blood pressure (to a goal of Systolic BP<120 mm Hg) was associated with $15 \%$ fewer cases of a combined outcome of MCl and dementia (and fewer MCl cases, but no difference in dementia alone)


## JAMA | Original Investigation

## Effect of Intensive vs Standard Blood Pressure Control on Probable Dementia

## A Randomized Clinical Trial

SPRINT-MIND:
Williamson et al, JAMA 2019

The SPRINT MIND Investigators for the SPRINT Research Group


## Key Points

Question Does intensive blood pressure control reduce the occurrence of dementia?

Findings In this randomized clinical trial that included 9361 adults with hypertension, randomization to a systolic blood pressure target of less than 120 mm Hg compared with less than 140 mm Hg resulted in a rate of probable dementia of 7.2 vs 8.6 cases per 1000 person-years, a difference that was not statistically significant.

Meaning Among adults with hypertension, intersive blood pressure control did not significantly reduce the risk of probable dementia.

| Outcomes | Treatment Group |  |  |  | Hazard Ratlo (95\% Cl) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | P Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Intensive |  | Standard |  |  |  |
|  | No. With Outcome/Person-Years | Cases per 1000 Person-Years | No. With Outcome/Person-Years | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cases per } 1000 \\ & \text { Person-Years } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Probable dementla | 149/20569 | 7.2 | 176/20378 | 8.6 | 0.83 (0.67-1.04) | . 10 |
| Mild cognitive impaliment ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 287/19690 | 14.6 | 353/19 281 | 18.3 | 0.81 (0.69-0.95) | . 007 |
| Composite of mild cognitive impalrment or probable dementia | 402/19873 | 20.2 | 469/19 488 | 24.1 | 0.85 (0.74-0.97) | . 01 |

JOHNS HOPKINS

## Multi-modal approaches to treatment \& prevention: Mixed results



- FINGER trial (Ngandu et al., Lancet 2015): randomized Finnish participants to 2-year multidomain intervention (diet, exercise, cognitive training, vascular risk factor monitoring) vs control
- 2-year followup was better in the intervention group, for cognitive change
- PreDIVA Trial: Evaluated new dementia cases, and found no difference in people randomized to a multidomain vascular intervention over 6 years compared to those with standard care (Moll van Charante et al., Lancet 2016)
Control group

| Number at risk |
| :---: |
| Control group |
| Intervention group |
| 1601 |

1853

## Take Home Messages

- Risk factors for heart disease and stroke are also risk factors for cognitive decline and dementia, and many of these are modifiable
- These vascular risk factors may directly contribute to changes in the brain that cause Alzheimer's disease
- Focusing on vascular health in middle age is especially important for the maintenance of brain health
- Aggressive treatment of high blood pressure reduces risk of mild cognitive impairment or dementia
- Treatment aimed at overall health: lifestyle, diet/ exercise, and vascular risk, may plan an important role in preserving brain health
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[^0]:    Abbreviationcon, odtriatu; suvk, standaralzeduplumewalue ratio.
    Vascular risk factors included body mass index $\geq 30$, current smoking.

[^1]:    ${ }^{5}$ Models are adjusted for age (at visit 5, 2011-2013), sex, race, education level, and APOE $\varepsilon 4$ genotype.

